

**Date :** 27 January 2012

**To :**

Shri Sudhir Gupta, Pr. Advisor (MS), TRAI,  
Telecom Regulatory Authority of India  
Mahanagar Door Sanchar Bhawan  
JawaharLal Nehru Marg (Old Minto Road), New Delhi-110002  
Telephone No: +91-11-23220018,  
Fax No: +91-11-23212014  
Email: [pradvmn@traigov.in](mailto:pradvmn@traigov.in)

**From :** NEC Unified Solutions

P.O. Box 32  
1200JD Hilversum  
The Netherlands  
Tel: +31 35 6899111  
Contact:  
Dr. Karin van Dam  
[karin.van.dam@emea.nec.com](mailto:karin.van.dam@emea.nec.com)

**Subject:**Comments on TRAI Consultation Paper No. 9/2011

“Allocation of Spectrum Resources for Residential and Enterprise Intra-telecommunication Requirements/ Cordless Telecommunication Systems (CTS)”.

### **Company presentation**

NEC Corporation is a leader in the integration of IT and network technologies that benefit businesses and people around the world. By providing a combination of products and solutions that cross utilize the company's experience and global resources, NEC's advanced technologies meet the complex and ever-changing needs of its customers. NEC brings more than 100 years of expertise in technological innovation to empower people, businesses and society.

NEC Unified Solutions is a wholly-owned division of NEC that specializes in developing and providing communications solutions to small, medium and large enterprises in both the private and public sectors. Designed for open connectivity, high availability and flexible growth, these solutions incorporate the latest voice, data and video technologies and enable real-time, collaborative working, increased productivity and significantly improved customer satisfaction. NEC offers a wide range of sophisticated and state-of-the-art mobility solutions, including IP DECT, that are distributed worldwide throughout regions including EMEA, AsiaPacific and the Americas.

Serving customers through a network of direct sales organisations, business partners and value-added resellers, NEC fosters a company-wide culture of customer dedication, flexibility and excellence.

To strengthen NEC's business capabilities in India and expand its IT and networking businesses in the rapidly expanding Indian market, NEC India Pvt. Ltd, a wholly-owned subsidiary of NEC Asia Pacific Pte. Ltd. and NEC Corporation, works with local customers to introduce new solutions and services for a ubiquitous networking society. Leveraging NEC's unique capabilities in integrating IT and networking technologies, NEC India customizes solutions to construct large-scale, highly reliable, scalable and flexible IT and network systems, such as Security and Surveillance Systems, Carrier Network, IP Telephony Solutions, Retail solutions (Hardware and Software), Private Network Solutions (Enterprise and Exchange wide EPABX, IP Telephony, KTS), High Performance Computing (Super Computers), IT products such as Servers, Storage and Thin Clients, as well as Multimedia Products such as Projectors and Commercial Display Products and Solutions for the Indian market.

For more information, please visit:

<http://www.nec-unified.com>

<http://www.nec.com>

### **Issues for Consultation**

#### **3.1 Whether the current allocation of spectrum for CTS is sufficient to meet the requirements? If not, then how to meet the demand of cordless telephony spectrum requirements?**

Answer: The current allocated spectrum for CTS in the ISM(WiFi) band and the 1880-1900Mhz band for digital CTS as indicated under para 2. of the Consultation Paper, is sufficient for existing needs. If required in the future the 1910-1920Mhz band could also be considered for digital CTS applications. However, while the ISM(WiFi) band, which is suitable for data but not very appropriate for voice, is de-licensed, the 1880-1900Mhz band which is most suitable for voice is a licensed band. This has only discouraged users from taking advantage of the current available digital CTS technologies and to the best of our information no license requests have been made to WPC. Private space digital CTS technology for residential and enterprise use cannot work in a licensed regime as they are purchased off the shelf & no frequency planning is required. All over the world state of the art digital CTS works in a de-licensed 1880-1900Mhz band or 1910-1920Mhz band.

#### **3.2 In view of the availability of cellular mobile services in the country and possibility of Fixed Mobile Convergence (FMC), is there any need to have DECT Phones?**

Answer: Yes there is a need for DECT phones. None of these technologies can provide efficient solution for private space. DECT systems for residential and SOHO applications provide single cell systems covering the whole living area including the basement (cellar) etc. Furthermore, DECT systems can be used with no interference

and full security for multiple co-located installations with no radio planning or licensing requirements. Cellular pico cell systems cannot provide this service. Furthermore they add to the revenue outflow for intercom calls.

DECT enterprise systems provide on-premises local mobility and *full coverage* through seamless handover between pico-cell base stations. The services offered are the wireless PBX telephony service and different low and medium rate data services for supervision, control, maintenance and alarms. The DECT local mobility pico-cell system is preferred as the cellular service is unable to provide the required quality, coverage, services or required integration with local key administrative and production systems. DECT can provide local messaging/broadcast and control functions for private space equipment. This is not possible in the case of cellular pico-cell services. Revenue outflow, licensing and frequency planning are other issues related to cellular pico cells.


### **3.3 Is there any requirement of allocating spectrum for digital CTS, in view of similar solutions being available in already de-licensed band 2.4 & 5.8 GHz?**

Answer: There is a basic difference between coexistence properties on a digital CTS band and on an ISM band (Wi-Fi).

The 20 MHz spectrum designated for digital CTS in other countries requires that equipment using this spectrum have to comply to specific dynamic channel selection procedures, power levels etc. It provides for maintaining high spectrum efficiency and maintaining high quality radio links (e.g. speech and video) in an environment of a multitude of uncoordinated system installations. There is no interference between co-located systems and total spectrum is very efficiently shared between all the co-located systems.

The ISM bands (2,4 and 5 GHz) do not have any such feature. Opposite to a digital CTS spectrum having rules for uncoordinated compatible installations, the ISM bands allows for uncoordinated usage of a variety of incompatible communication devices and also domestic (micro wave ovens), industrial, scientific and medical devices. Therefore maintenance of a high quality of service will not be guaranteed when different ISM band devices are used in the same local area. This applies especially to voice and video services, but is less critical for best effort packet data services, where non-time-critical retransmissions are applied when expected collisions occur.

The above intrinsic differences between digital CTS and Wi-Fi CTS is clearly demonstrated in countries where both are allowed, as in Europe and the US. In Europe where DECT has been established for many years, there is literally no market for Wi-Fi CTS. In the US DECT has quickly become the dominant CTS at the expense of earlier domination of ISM band digital phones. Wi-Fi has not been able to compete with digital CTS regarding mission critical voice and real time medium rate data applications for enterprises. (The diagram below shows the market share)



It is obvious that India needs a 1880-1900Mhz license exempt protected TDD spectrum (defined by a coexistence etiquette) to provide state of the art residential and enterprise mission critical voice and medium rate data services.(Table 1.7 of TRAI paper substantiates that DECT is prevalent in all the major countries as now it is even adopted in JAPAN)

### **3.4 Whether de-licensing of the spectrum for digital CTS applications will be the right path?**

Answer: Yes it is absolutely essential in the best interest of the general public. CTS has to be license exempt to be successful on the market, in the same way that de-licensing has been the key for the success for the Wi-Fi technology on the 2,4 and 5 GHz ISM bands. Even the earlier analog CTS band was de-licensed. As indicated in Chapter I of this Paper, de-licensing is the only globally accepted norm for private space digital CTS application. A licensing regime cannot be practically implemented for residential and SOHO applications. This is more so as the terminals are purchased off the shelf and deployed in totally uncoordinated way.

### **3.5 Do you agree that the 1880-1900 or 1910-1920 MHz band (TDDMode) be allocated for digital CTS applications? If yes, what should be the limits of emitted power (EIRP), power flux density (pfd), antenna gain etc?**

Answer: The 1880-1900Mhz band(TDD mode) is already allocated for digital CTS. If in future there is more demand for digital CTS then 1910-1920 MHz band(TDD mode) could also be allocated.

Terminal power (conducted): 250 mW (24 dBm)

Antenna gain: < 12 dBi.

(This specification is taken from the European Harmonized Standard ETSI EN 301 406.)

The antenna gain of 12 dBi is used in Europe and many other countries.

DECT residential and enterprise systems are installed and used indoors. This is basically a non line of sight, NLOS, environment. In dispersive NLOS environments it

is in principle the total power of all reflections, rather than the emission in a specific direction, that decides which power reaches the other end point. Thus the range as well as interference estimates will basically be dependent on the totally emitted power (the conducted terminal power), and rather independent of the shape of the antenna pattern.

**3.6 Do you see any coexistence issues between existing cellular systems using adjacent band with low power CTS allocations in 1880-1900 or 1910-1920 MHz band?**

Answer:With reference to the use of 1880-1900Mhz band for digital CTS and its interference possibilities into the adjacent cellular bands, as indicated in this paper at 2.8.3 there are plenty of documented studies on this subject as well as practical implementation in the developed world to indicate that adjacent band(cellular) interference issues do not exist.All over the world, including America and Europe, DECT systems are co-existing with cellular systems both in the 1880-1900Mhz and 1910-1920Mhz band.

**3.7 Whether the de-licensing of either 1880-1900 MHz or 1910-1920MHz band for low power CTS applications will result in loss of revenue to the government?**

Answer:The question of loss of revenue arises in the case of public services. CTS is a private space non-commercial application concept based on a de-licensed band as in the case of Wi-Fi bands and the earlier 46/49Mhz etc.It is adding revenue to the exchequer in terms of duties and taxes. It also enhances employment and revenue generation through manufacturing & R&D. Increased use of digital CTS will stem the decline of around 30million existing land lines, increase ARPU on them and thus bring value to the huge sunk cost. Once landlines become popular again consumer will also go in for broad band, which is a Govt. initiative for e-governance, e-health, e-education. This itself will be biggest gain for the Nation.

**3.8 Will there be any potential security threat using CTS? If yes, how to address the same.**

Answer: CTS is using the public PSTN network like wired phones. No difference. Furthermore, the digital CTS radio link uses ciphering and authentication with the same security level as GSM/UMTS, thus providing secure private communication within the residential or enterprise space. This is one of the main reasons for the popularity of DECT systems globally as against the other private space services provided by commercial public telecom service providers.

**3.9 Amongst the various options of digital technologies available to meet the cordless telephony requirements, either spectrum allocation can be considered according to technology or the etiquettes/ specifications can be defined for the de-licensed spectrum band. What method of allocation of spectrum for digital CTS applications should be adopted?**

Answer: A defined etiquette based CTS is a much better option, in fact the only practical option. Some of the etiquette parameters have already been defined by WPC in its Note 57 to the NFAP-2011. Other etiquette parameters could be added with the objective of de-licensing the band.